

the award from \$300 to \$500 per year per student.

(7) *Membership of the Long Range Planning Committee.*—Suggested that the President and Vice President automatically become members of the committee.

(8) *Improvement of the Business Meeting.*—Appointed a subcommittee to review ways to improve the Business Meeting and to increase attendance. It recommended that all reports call attention to highlights of accomplishments and future expectations, requesting committee members and referees to attend, and publicizing in various ways to call attention to the meeting.

(9) *Regional meetings on methodology.*—Recommended the Executive Committee consider appoint-

ing a committee to arrange a meeting on methods of analysis in cooperation with one of the regional sections of AFDOUS or other interested organizations.

(10) *Improvement of the Association.*—Designated Dr. Banes to chair a committee to get comments and ideas from members by preparing and distributing a questionnaire at the 1970 annual meeting.

The Long Range Planning Committee reviewed its past accomplishments and recommended that the Committee be continued.

Approved.

D. Banes, L. G. Ensminger, W. Horwitz,
K. L. Milstead, M. S. Oakley, S. B. Randle,
L. S. Stuart, C. O. Willits, and W. P.
McKinley, *Chairman*

Report on AACC-AOAC-AOCS-ASTM Intersociety Relations Committee

Last year Dr. Willits, then chairman of the joint committee, presented a review of its history and functions. Since then one meeting of the committee has been held and another meeting was scheduled for November 1969.

At the November 1968 meeting the discussion centered around means of exchanging information concerning methods under study by the various societies and around mutual adoption of methods where practical.

A key person from each society was assigned to coordinate information exchanges. They are as follows: W. C. Schaefer, AACC; L. G. Ensminger, AOAC; R. T. O'Connor, AOCS; and M. D. Huber, ASTM. Each person is to be informed by the representatives of the other three societies of work planned or in

progress which might be of interest to members of his society. The people listed above will forward the information to appropriate members of their respective societies.

If a method developed by one society is substantially copied by another, clearance from the originating society should be obtained. This should be done through the representatives of the different societies listed above.

The need for uniformity in the definition of statistical terms was discussed as well as the need for a source for primary standards.

Approved.

L. G. Ensminger, David Firestone, and
C. L. Ogg, *Chairman*

Report of the Committee on AOAC Missions

The Committee reviewed the minutes of the meeting held on August 20, 1969. Although a quorum was not present at the August 20, meeting, the minutes were helpful in gaining background of the purpose of the Missions Committee.

The basic procedures of the AOAC for development and evaluation of analytical methods are unique and effective. These procedures are well established and are adequate for covering the present scope of the organization. Doubtless these basic principles would be sufficient to encompass any new areas the Association may wish to enter.

It is agreed that an independent agency such as the AOAC is the logical organization to develop and evaluate analytical methods. To fulfill its mission it must be autonomous and free from control by Federal, State, local, or industrial domination. For more than 80 years the AOAC has served the scientific and judicial sectors as well as the public in an effective manner. It must be kept this way.

The critical problem of the AOAC at this point is a lack of participation in method development and financial support by most organizations that use the AOAC analytical procedures. The Federal Food and